Abstract

Mechanisms for transporting and dehydrating air across the tropical tropopause layer (TTL) are investigated with a conceptual two dimensional (2-D) model. The 2-D TTL model combines the Holton and Gettelman cold trap dehydration mechanism (Holton and Gettelman, 2001) with the two column convection model of Folkins and Martin (2005). We investigate 3 possible transport scenarios through the TTL: 1) slow uniform ascent across the level of zero radiative heating without direct convective mixing, 2) convective mixing of H$_2$O vapor at 100% relative humidity with respect to ice (RHi) with no ice retention, and 3) convective mixing of extremely subsaturated air (convective dehydration) with sufficient ice retention such that total H$_2$O is 100% RHi. The three mechanisms produce similar seasonal cycles for H$_2$O that are in good quantitative agreement with the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) measurements. We use Aura MLS measurement of CO and Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment-Fourier Transform Spectrometer measurement of HDO to distinguish among the transport mechanisms. Model comparisons with the observations support the view that H$_2$O is predominantly controlled by the cold trap temperature but the trace species CO and HDO show evidence of extratropical mixing and convective mixing of subsaturated tropospheric air and lofted ice. The model provides some insight into the processes affecting the long term trends observed in stratospheric H$_2$O.

1 Introduction

Water vapor enters the stratosphere in the tropics (Brewer, 1949; Holton et al., 1995) through convective injection and diabatic ascent. Of particular interest is the long term trend in stratospheric H$_2$O. A steady increase at twice the rate expected due to oxidation from rising CH$_4$ persisted for nearly a half century (Rosenlof et al., 2001). After 2000, water vapor showed a sharp decrease (Fueglistaler and Haynes, 2005; Nedoluha et al., 2003; Randel et al., 2006; Rosenlof and Reid, 2008). Dehydration and...
transport mechanisms that operate near the tropical tropopause, augmented by CH$_4$
oxidation are believed to have significant roles in these trends. Much progress has
been made recently in our understanding of how air is dehydrated. Models incorpor-
ating large scale horizontal transport through cold traps do an excellent job of repro-
ducing the observed seasonal cycle of tropical H$_2$O entering the stratosphere (Hart-
mann et al., 2001; Holton and Gettelman, 2001; Jensen et al., 2001; Benazza and
Haynes, 2004; Fueglistaler et al., 2004; Jensen and Pfister, 2004; Fueglistaler et al.,
2005; Fueglistaler and Haynes, 2005; Randel et al., 2006). An alternative hypothe-
sis proposing dehydration of H$_2$O by convective mixing of extremely subsaturated air
(Sherwood and Dessler, 2001, 2003; Danielsen, 1982, 1993) was shown to be inconsis-
tent with the vertical structure of Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite Microwave
Limb Sounder (MLS) H$_2$O between the upper troposphere and the tropopause (Read
et al., 2004) and the variability observed in the isotopologues of H$_2$O (Webster and
Heymsfield, 2003). Studies using cloud resolving models show that while convection
can inject dry air, condensed ice is not removed quickly enough to produce net dehy-
dration (Grovenor et al., 2007; Jensen et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2006).

Although a convective signature is not prevalent in H$_2$O or needed to explain either
its annual oscillation (Mote et al., 1996) or its stratospheric entry concentration (e.g.,
Fueglistaler et al., 2005), observations of other tracers show evidence of convective
influence. In addition, clear sky radiative heating calculations indicate that there is a
transport barrier 1–2 km below the cold-point tropopause (CPT) in the tropics (Folkins
et al., 1999). The transport barrier or level of zero radiative heating (LZH) is where air
above rises and air below sinks. The existence of the LHZ below the CPT makes the
region in between unique, having both tropospheric and stratospheric behaviors and
is usually known as the tropical tropopause layer (TTL, Sherwood and Dessler, 2000).
Observations of CO$_2$ (Andrews et al., 1999) and CO (Schoeberl et al., 2006) have
seasonal cycles at the tropopause that are present in these molecules in the boundary
layer. As explained by Sherwood and Dessler (2003); Folkins et al. (2006b); Schoeberl
et al. (2006), convection is needed to transport boundary layer air across the clear-sky
LZH into the TTL.

Observations of the heavy isotopologues of H$_2$O, HDO and H$_2^{18}$O show concentra-
tions that are significantly higher than expected from a pure temperature controlled
freeze-drying process (Moyer et al., 1996; Johnson et al., 2001a; Kuang et al., 2003).
It has been postulated that evaporation of convectively lofted ice (Moyer et al., 1996)
provides the additional HDO and H$_2^{18}$O. Evidence of ice lofting and in situ freeze-drying
was observed from aircraft (Webster and Heymsfield, 2003) in subtropical convection.
Several studies employing different mechanisms have successfully reproduced obser-
vations of HDO (Dessler and Sherwood, 2003; Gettelman et al., 2004; Schmidt et al.,
2005; Dessler et al., 2007); however, none of these models incorporate both in situ
freeze-drying and convective mixing of dry air and lofted ice as suggested by cloud
resolving models. Here we present a conceptual model that incorporates both in situ
freeze drying and convective mixing. We run the model using 3 different representa-
tions of convection and transport across the TTL. The representation where convection
detrains ice, air having low relative humidity with respect to ice (RHI), and tropospheric
CO successfully reproduces the tropical zonal mean observations of H$_2$O, CO, and
HDO.

2 CCT-TTL Model Description

The convection/cold trap TTL (CCT-TTL) model used here is based upon the two di-
imensional (2-D) conceptual model developed by Holton and Gettelman (2001, herein
after referred to as HG01). The model includes a parameterization for convective mix-
ing (Folkins and Martin, 2005) and computes vapor and ice mixing ratios of H$_2$O, HDO
and H$_2^{18}$O, and the mixing ratio of CO. The model is a 2-D representation (longitude by
height) of the TTL. The vertical domain of the model covers 14–19 km and the horizon-
tal domain is 18 000 km. The Aura MLS v1.5 temperature drives the model. The Aura
MLS v1.5 temperature has three measurements between 14 and 19 km (147, 100, and
68 hPa). A warm bias of 1.5 K is removed (Schwartz et al., 2008). The tropical (12° S–
12° N) MLS temperature measurements are averaged in 14 equally spaced longitude bins. The daily temperature profile at the 147 and 68 hPa levels is the tropical average. The 100 hPa daily temperature which defines the CPT temperature in the CCT-TTL model comes from the longitude bin having the warmest average. The model’s daily background temperature profile \( T_0 \) in Eq. 1 of HG01 is linearly interpolated vertically and temporally from the Aura MLS temperature profile previously described.

The CPT height in the model is specified to be at 100 hPa and 16.5 km without seasonal variation. Although the true CPT height varies with season, this difference is not resolved in the v1.5 Aura MLS temperature field. As in HG01, we superimpose on \( T_0 \), a cold trap represented by a 2500 km \( \times \) 1 km Gaussian function. The temperature in the cold trap is taken from the longitude bin having the coldest Aura MLS 100 hPa temperature again interpolated linearly in time to the model time step.

The CCT-TTL model includes no parameterization for gravity wave perturbations (Pfister et al., 2001; Jensen and Pfister, 2004; Potter and Holton, 1995). Since gravity wave features are present in the Aura MLS temperatures (Wu et al., 2006), the CCT-TTL model does not necessarily need an additional parameterization for them; however, some gravity waves remain unresolved by Aura MLS. Neglecting these waves should cause the model to overestimate \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) entering the stratosphere. Quantifying this error is difficult because the magnitude of unresolved gravity waves is unknown and are compensated by random noise in the Aura MLS temperature measurement. A rough estimate of this effect is derived from applying a 7 day high-pass filter to the minimum Aura MLS CPT temperature time series. The resulting time series visually looks like random noise having a standard deviation of 0.5 K. The sum of amplitudes of all waves having a period less than 7 days in the Jensen and Pfister (2004) model is 1 K. Therefore the impact of gravity waves may be underestimated by 0.5 K. Vapor and ice are partitioned according to HG01 and Folkins et al. (2006b).

\[
\frac{\delta X}{\delta t} = \frac{[X]_v}{\tau_d} + K \frac{\partial^2 [X]_v}{\partial z^2} + \frac{[X]_i}{\tau_e} - \frac{[X]_{\text{ex}}}{\tau_s}
\]

The right hand terms in Eq. 1 going from 1st to 7th describe extratropical mixing, vertical diffusion, evaporation, condensation, convective mixing, chemical production and loss. The subscripts \( v \), \( i \), and \( s \), on concentration \([X]\) refer to vapor, condensed phase, and vapor over condensed phase. Superscript conv and ex refer to convective and extratropical concentrations respectively.

The extratropical mixing rate profile, \( \tau_d \) (30 days at 14 km and 580 days at 19 km) is that used in HG01. The extratropical supply of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{CO}, \text{HDO}, \) and \( \text{H}_2^{18}\text{O} \), are based on observations. The extratropical \([\text{H}_2\text{O}]_v^{\text{ex}}\) is 5.5, 4.2, and 3.8 parts per million volume (ppmv) at 147 (≈14 km), 100 (≈16.5 km), and 68 hPa (≈19 km) based on the extratropical average of Aura MLS v1.5 measurements. We use linear interpolation to compute concentrations on the model vertical grid. Extratropical CO from Aura MLS is 70, 49, 26 parts per billion volume (ppbv) at the previously mentioned heights. The isotopologues are expressed as \( \delta \) or \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) (‰)=1000[R\times(HDO or \text{H}_2^{18}\text{O})/\text{H}_2\text{O}-1] where R is (HDO or \text{H}_2^{18}\text{O})/\text{H}_2\text{O} in Vienna standard mean ocean water. For \( \delta\text{D} \) we use the average multiyear Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment-Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS, Bernath et al., 2005) 30°N/S–40°N/S measurement of −596‰ from 150–100 hPa. For \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) we use −120‰ from Johnson et al. (2001b). The extratropical \([X]_{\text{ex}}^{\text{conv}}\) for all species is zero.

The vertical diffusion constant \( K \) is 0.03 m\(^2\)/s (Andrews et al., 1999; Mote et al., 1998).
The convective detrainment (mixing) rate, \(d\), is diagnosed from the prescribed large scale upwelling and extratropical mass flux divergence according to the two column model (TCM, Folkins and Martin, 2005). The upwelling and its seasonal cycle are derived from TCM results published in Folkins et al. (2006b, their Fig. 1, with the mass flux profiles shifted vertically to align their LZH with that used in the CCT-TTL model). The LZH is 15.5 km in the CCT-TTL model. The seasonal cycle for the upwelling is phased such that its maximum occurs on 14 February. The TCM calculations show that the maximum vertical velocity occurs ~1 km above the LZH with a slight decline above.

Downwelling of air associated with radiative cooling from subvisible cirrus lying above deep convective anvil clouds (Hartmann et al., 2001) is parameterized according to HG01. The downward velocity is proportional to the ice mixing ratio in the cold trap as prescribed by HG01.

Figure 1 shows the clear-sky vertical velocity with its annual oscillation. The prescribed velocity is consistent with other estimates (Mote et al., 1998; Randel et al., 2002; Andrews et al., 1999; Rosenlof, 1995). According to the TCM, the rate at which air detrains from the cloudy column is balanced by the radiative mass flux divergence of the clear sky column and the mass flux divergence to higher latitudes. Mathematically, 
\[
1/T_{\text{up}} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \rho} + 1/\tau_{\text{conv}},
\]
where \(\omega\) is the radiative heating vertical mass flux which is proportional to the vertical velocity. It is worth noting that the convective detrainment rate profile is not a free parameter in both models. The seasonal variability of the computed convective detrainment rate profile is given in Fig. 1. Convective detrainment rates were diagnosed from CO and \(O_3\) profiles taken from the February 1996 Stratospheric Tracers of Atmospheric Transport campaign (Dessler, 2002). The convective detrainment rate profile in Fig. 1 is shorter (faster) but within the uncertainty of that diagnosed from \(O_3\) and CO gradients up to the CPT. The convective supply terms, \([X]_{\text{conv}}^{v}\) and \([X]_{\text{conv}}^{i}\), whose values are most uncertain are discussed later.

The vapor/ice equations are solved for the time evolution of \([X]_v\) and \([X]_i\), using a semi-Lagrangian algorithm described in Staniforth and Côté (1991). The horizontal domain allows for broad-scale conditions. The horizontal velocity is 10 m/s (HG01). Water vapor and CO in the lowest altitude grid point for all longitudes is the v1.5 Aura MLS 147 hPa tropical zonal mean measurement interpolated to the model time. The heavy isotopologues use \(\delta D = -650\%\) (from tropical ACE-FTS measurements at 150 hPa) and \(\delta^{18}O = -154\%\) (Webster and Heymsfield, 2003) for HDO and H\(_2\)O\(_\text{18O}\) respectively. The model is run from August 2003 to February 2007, the first year used to spin-up the model and uses Aura MLS temperature data from August 2004 to July 2005 for the 2003/2004 period.

2.1 H\(_2\)O modeling

The evaporation and condensation rates, \(\tau_E = 1\) day, and \(\tau_c = 1\) h, are those used in HG01. Condensation, the 4th term in Eq. (1), vanishes except when \([H_2O]_l \geq 100\% \text{RHi}\) and \([H_2O]_g > 0\) or \([H_2O]_l > 160\% \text{RHi}\) and \([H_2O]_g = 0\) (Jensen et al., 2001; Koop et al., 1998). Ice sediments at a velocity proportional to its effective radius according to a relation given in Boehm et al. (1999). The effective radius of the ice particles is parameterized according to their ice water content (IWC, McFarquhar and Heymsfield, 1997). The sedimentation rates are typically ~7 mm/s for ~6.5 \(\mu\)m radius particles.

The production \(P\), and loss \(L\), terms are highly parameterized in this model. For H\(_2\)O we use \(1.8 \times 10^{-8}\) ppmv/s and 0 for the production and loss respectively. The production term due to CH\(_4\) oxidation is estimated from the vertical gradient of H\(_2\)O.

2.2 CO modeling

Carbon monoxide is useful for testing the convective parameterization in the model (Folkins et al., 2006a). As has been noted before, when \(\text{RHi} \geq 100\%,\) temperature control dominates convective mixing making it difficult to observe any evidence of convective influence on H\(_2\)O. This is readily seen in Eq. (1). The condensation rate in supersaturated air is much faster (1 h) than the convective mixing rate (\(\geq 20\) days) in the TTL. The non-condensible tropospheric tracer CO on the other hand, is especially
valuable for detecting convective activity in the TTL. In the CCT-TTL model, CO is represented by the time tendency of the vapor equation and all terms involving condensed phases vanish. The 5th term representing convection stands out. Carbon monoxide is destroyed by OH in the lower stratosphere, producing a vertical gradient. The production and loss rates for CO use the profiles in Folkins et al. (2006a). As shown in Randel et al. (2006), Schoeberl et al. (2007), and Folkins et al. (2006b), an annual cycle in CO is produced above the tropopause as a consequence of modulating the vertical gradient in CO by the annual oscillation in the vertical upwelling. Therefore CO is a good diagnostic of both convection and the large scale upwelling.

2.3 HDO and H$_2^18$O modeling

The heavy water isotopologues condense more efficiently than H$_2$O as temperature is lowered. As a result, $\delta$D becomes progressively smaller than its surface ratio as rising air is freeze-dried. Therefore $\delta$D provides insight into the temperature of the air parcel’s most recent condensation event or if convection is mixing deuterium (or $^18$O) enriched ice.

The CCT-TTL model partitions HDO and H$_2^18$O according to Eq. (1). The Rayleigh fractionation is applied to the $[X]_v^\text{conv}$ and $[X]_s$ quantities. When the model decides that a cloud is formed, the frost point temperature of [H$_2$O], is calculated prior to evaluating Eq. (1). Next [HDO], is computed from [HDO], by integrating the Rayleigh fractionation function from the frost point temperature to the environmental temperature. If [H$_2$O]/[H$_2$O],>1 after applying Eq. (1), there will be a kinetic isotope effect which inhibits preferential condensation of HDO. The same procedure is applied to H$_2^{18}$O. The fractionation factors and theory are from Johnson et al. (2001a) and include the kinetic isotope effect (Jouzel and Merlivat, 1984) with updated diffusivity ratios (Cappa et al., 2003). Production of HDO and H$_2^{18}$O from CH$_3$D and CH$_4$ oxidation is that for H$_2$O scaled by $\delta$D=−70‰ and $\delta^{18}$O=−23‰, respectively (Schmidt et al., 2005). We assume no chemical loss.

2.4 Role of convection

The CCT-TTL model is used to investigate three convective transport scenarios summarized in Table 1. The first scenario “slow ascent” (SA) limits convection’s influence to providing only large scale upwelling without any direct mixing. The CCT-TTL model has a uniform vertical velocity of 0.23 mm/s (with a seasonal amplitude of 0.8 mm/s) throughout the TTL without a LZH and convective mixing. This is the original HG01 model and emulates the convective mechanism in trajectory based models (Gettelman et al., 2002; Jensen and Pfister, 2004; Fueglistaler et al., 2005) where the large scale vertical motion allows some trajectories to cross the clear sky LZH. The second and third scenarios incorporate convective mixing according to the vertical velocity and convective mixing rate profiles in Fig. 1.

The convective mixing schemes explore the sensitivity of H$_2$O, HDO, and H$_2^{18}$O, to different mechanisms for control of [H$_2$O], and [H$_2$O],, summarized in Table 1. [H$_2$O], and [H$_2$O], are free parameters in this model and are not well constrained by observations. Temperature provides some constraint on [H$_2$O], as it is unlikely to exceed ∼100% RH$_s$ for the environmental temperature. Ice however is much more uncertain. A factor complicating matters is that convectively lofted ice represents a spectrum of particle sizes and fall speeds. Observations and numerical simulations using cloud resolving models show ice concentrations of ∼1000 part per million volume (ppmv) in the TTL (Webster and Heymsfield, 2003; Jensen et al., 2007). The majority of this ice is unlikely to mix in the TTL because it will sediment too quickly. Therefore [H$_2$O], is probably best represented by the ice concentrations in the small particle radius tail (r<20 µm) of the convectively lofted ice particle size distribution. According to the microphysical model in Jensen et al. (2007), small particle ice concentrations have [H$_2$O],<6 ppmv. Although the [H$_2$O], and [H$_2$O], in Table 1 are uncertain, they represent plausible values.

The Convection-No Ice (C-NOICE) case activates the 5th term in Eq. (1) where 100% RH$_s$ computed at a modified environmental temperature $T'$ is mixed into the
TTL. The modified environmental temperature is the environmental temperature below the CPT and above, $T'$ is the mean of the environmental temperature and the moist adiabatic temperature extrapolated upward from the CPT (Pfister and Jensen, 2007). The modified environmental temperature continues to cool above the CPT because the moist adiabat cools more rapidly than the environmental temperature warms, consistent with outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) measurements that are sometimes less than the CPT temperature. In this scheme all convective ice is removed. Following Keith (2000) we assume deep convection lofts air from the cloud base into the TTL without significant mixing. Accordingly, convectively supplied [HDO]$_{\text{conv}}$ ($\delta^1$D$\sim$900‰ at the CPT) and [H$_2^18$O]$_{\text{conv}}$($\delta^{18}$O$\sim$200‰ at the CPT) follow Rayleigh fractionation.

The third case, convection following Sherwood and Dessier (2001, hereafter referred as SD01) with Ice retention (CSD01-ICE) revisits their convective dehydration model where 100% RHi air at a convective temperature, $T^\text{conv}$, following the moist adiabat from the level of neutral buoyancy (set at 160 hPa) is mixed into the environmental air. Cloud resolving models lend support to the likelihood that temperature inside overshooting convective turrets is much colder than the environment (Grosvenor et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2006; Jensen et al., 2007). The CSD01-ICE scheme differs from SD01 by convectively supplying enough ice to inhibit dehydration. Convective dehydration is not supported by observations or cloud resolving model calculations that show enough ice evaporates after convection collapses to prevent significant dehydration. For a consistent comparison to the C-NOICE test we mix enough ice such that the total H$_2$O is the same as that in the C-NOICE test. This is equivalent to increasing the ice retention parameter from 4 to 10 in the SD01 model at the CPT. As with C-NOICE, [HDO]$_{\text{v}}$ and [H$_2^18$O]$_{\text{v}}$ follow the Rayleigh fractionation for 100% RHi at $T^\text{conv}$. Because $T^\text{conv}$ $\ll$ $T'$, the H$_2$O isotopologues mix vapor that is even more depleted than that used by C-NOICE; however, ice carries enriched D and $^{18}$O such that $\delta^1$D and $\delta^{18}$O in total water are $\sim$550‰ and $\sim$80‰ respectively. The isotopic ratios for the total H$_2$O isotopologues are tunable parameters in this model and we choose values that represent Rayleigh fractionation of vapor up to the level of neutral buoyancy for tropical convection.

3 Data

3.1 Aura MLS measurements

Aura MLS measures millimeter–sub millimeter wavelength thermal emission from the Earth’s atmospheric limb (Waters et al., 2006). The Aura MLS fields of view point in the direction of orbital motion and vertically scan the limb in the orbit plane. Aura MLS produces almost 3500 atmospheric profiles over 14 orbits between 82° S to 82° N each day.

This study compares the model output to Aura MLS v1.5 and v2.2 H$_2$O and v1.5 CO. As was noted earlier, the CCT-TTL model is driven by Aura MLS v1.5 tropical temperature. The precision (uncertainty left over after removing the 1.5 K warm bias) and vertical resolution of the v1.5 temperature measurement are 1 K and 6 km (Livesey, 2005; Schwartz et al., 2008). Extratropical averages of Aura MLS v1.5 H$_2$O and CO are used for the extratropical mixing concentrations. The tropical average of 147 hPa Aura MLS v1.5 H$_2$O and CO are input into the bottom of the model, and the tropical 147 hPa Aura MLS v1.5 CO is the CO convective input. The accuracy for v2.2 H$_2$O is 7–12%, with a 3–3.5 km vertical resolution and a single profile precision of 15% (Read et al., 2007, v1.5 H$_2$O is similar). The version 2.2 H$_2$O vertical retrieval grid has twice as many levels as v1.5 in the troposphere and lower stratosphere. The accuracy, vertical resolution and single profile precision for v2.2 CO is 30%±20 ppbv, 4 km, and 20 ppbv (Livesey et al., 2008, v1.5 CO is similar). All Aura MLS data sets were appropriately screened according to rules given in Livesey (2007) and Livesey (2006).

3.2 ACE-FTS

The HDO measurements are provided by ACE-FTS on the Canadian SCISAT-1 mission (Bernath et al., 2005). ACE-FTS is a high resolution (0.02 cm$^{-1}$) infrared Fourier transform spectrometer that measures solar occultation spectra between 2.2 and 13.3 μm (750–4400 cm$^{-1}$). Vertical profiles are retrieved for up to 15 sunrises and
15 sunsets per day whose latitudes vary over an annual cycle from 85° S to 85° N with an emphasis on the polar regions during winter and spring. The current processing version for the ACE-FTS is version 2.2 (Boone et al., 2005). We use here an official update to the processing called “version 2.2 HDO update” (Nassar et al., 2007), which corrects errors in HDO results found in the original version 2.2 dataset. The ACE-FTS HDO retrievals employ 18 microwindows (spectral intervals of width 0.3–0.5 cm⁻¹) in the wavenumber range 1400–1500 cm⁻¹ and 6 microwindows in the range 2610–2675 cm⁻¹.

The altitude range for the HDO retrievals extends from 5 to 38 km. Data for the other isotopologues are not yet released. The vertical resolution and precision of the ACE-FTS HDO are 3–4 km and 20–40%. The ACE-FTS HDO is not yet validated but results presented in this paper agree well with earlier tropical HDO measurements from Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS, Kuang et al., 2003; Gunson et al., 1996) and Aircraft Laser Infrared Absorption Spectrometer (ALIAS, Webster and Heymsfield, 2003). The isotopologue comparisons concentrate on the 2005–2007 time frame where ACE-FTS and Aura MLS v1.5 measurements overlap. Applying the recommended quality screening to the ACE-FTS data produces 260 profiles between 12° S and 12° N.

4 Results

4.1 H₂O

Figure 2 compares H₂O time series for the 3 CCT-TTL model runs (SA, C-NOICE, and CSD01-ICE) to v1.5 Aura MLS at 147, 100, and 68 hPa. The Aura MLS H₂O measurements are daily zonal means between 12° S–12° N. Figure 3 shows the same comparison for the partially complete Aura MLS v2.2 H₂O on 147, 121, 100, 83, and 68 hPa. The model runs have been convolved with the Aura MLS averaging kernel (Rodgers, 1990) and the forward model smoothing function (Read et al., 2007, 2006). The observations clearly show that the maximum amplitude in the H₂O annual oscillation occurs at 100 hPa in v1.5 and 83 hPa in v2.2. The phase of the annual oscillation shifts with altitude reflecting the transit time of air from the CPT where the annual oscillation is imprinted. This feature is often referred to as a tape recorder (Mote et al., 1996). There is little to no annual oscillation at 147 and 121 hPa in the Aura MLS H₂O. This closely follows the tropical temperature data that shows an annual oscillation <1 K at 14 km becoming 6 K at the CPT (Randel et al., 2002). Noteworthy is 20% dryness of the v2.2 147 hPa H₂O relative to v1.5. This reflects a change in how the two different Aura MLS versions vertically resolve the data which is particularly evident at 147 and 100 hPa in the tropics (Read et al., 2007). The lower Aura MLS v2.2 147 hPa H₂O measurements indicate a sharper change in the vertical gradient in H₂O below the CPT which is consistent with a transport barrier at 14 km (Folkins et al., 1999).

Despite the different transport and convective mixing parameterizations, the model runs show mostly good agreement with each other and the Aura MLS measurements. This result emphasizes the dominance of cold trap CPT temperature control—common to all parameterizations—in regulating the entry of H₂O into the stratosphere. This is expected because condensation is the fastest of all processes in Eq. (1). All that matters is that H₂O transported into the cold trap is greater than 100% RH which is greater than the SMR of the cold trap temperature. The C-NOICE convection directly injects 100% RH air throughout the TTL (at a rate decreasing with altitude) including regions outside of the cold trap. Moisture injected above the LZH that is vertically and horizontally advected through the cold trap is greater than its SMR. The CSD01-ICE introduces two competing processes, one that injects air <100% RH and another that injects ice some of which can reevaporate.

The largest difference among the convective transport schemes occurs during the Boreal summertime at the CPT. This is caused by an inability of the SA and CSD01-ICE convective parameterizations to maintain 100% RH in the cold trap during the warm phase. This is caused by dehydration from extratropical mixing below the CPT during
the warm phase. The similar behavior of the SA and CSD01-ICE transport schemes suggests that convection in CSD01-ICE neither hydrates nor dehydrates the TTL for Table 1 H₂O amounts. The C-NOICE convection scheme supplies enough moisture to maintain the model cold trap at 100% RHi all year. H₂O from the C-NOICE has a larger annual oscillation.

The better agreement between Aura MLS and the SA or CSD01-ICE results does not necessarily justify rejecting the C-NOICE mechanism. Of concern is the oft-cited issue of using Eulerian grid box temperature averages in place of averages of minimum temperature encountered along trajectories (e.g., Fueglistaler et al., 2004). The cold trap temperature field in the CCT-TTL model has a 7.7 K annual oscillation. The Lagrangian cold point based on trajectory analysis using European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecasts 40-year Reanalysis (ERA-40) temperature fields from 1992–2001 has a smaller 4.3 K annual oscillation (Fueglistaler et al., 2004). Due to the non-linear response of the SMR to temperature, H₂O during the warm season is exaggerated. If the CCT-TTL model followed the trajectory analysis temperature, then all three transport scenarios considered here will maintain 100% RHi in the cold trap all year and produce very similar results and H₂O could not be used to distinguish amongst them.

There is additional evidence that temperature driven freeze-drying occurs all year. Subvisible cirrus near the CPT is present all year (Wang et al., 1996). Fluctuations in model H₂O seen in the C-NOICE run which are caused by similar fluctuations in the Aura MLS temperature field during the Boreal summers qualitatively follow those in the Aura MLS H₂O lending some evidence that the Boreal summer is also under cold trap temperature control and that the Boreal summer MLS longitude bin average having the minimum cold point temperature is too warm. The fluctuations which have a periodicity of 1–2 months when present are probably CPT temperature anomalies associated with the Madden Julian Oscillation (Madden and Julian, 1994; Wong and Dessler, 2007).

There is no evidence that convection dehydrates the TTL because dehydrating convection would produce a drier Boreal summer than observed and reduces the amplitude of the annual oscillation in H₂O. This happens when [H₂O]conv is made smaller (not shown). Increasing [H₂O]conv in CSD01-ICE above that in Table 1 causes this convection scheme to have a moistening effect in the TTL similar to that for C-NOICE.

4.2 CO

Figure 4 shows a time-height view of Aura MLS v1.5 CO from August 2004 to March 2007. Aura MLS observations of CO show a semiannual oscillation propagating up to the CPT and an annual oscillation at the top of the TTL. These features are generally understood to be the combined effects of convective mixing of boundary layer air polluted with CO from biomass burning and the annual oscillation of the tropical upwelling, acting on the vertical gradient of CO (Schoeberl et al., 2006; Randel et al., 2007; Folkins et al., 2006b; Schoeberl et al., 2007).

The second panel shows results from the SA run vertically smoothed by the Aura MLS averaging kernel and forward model smoothing function. Because it lacks convective mixing, it fails to produce a semiannual oscillation, producing only an annual oscillation through most of the model domain caused by the annual oscillation in the upwelling. Although the Aura MLS CO measurement containing the semiannual cycle is sourced into the bottom of the model, the upwelling is slow compared to the extratropical mixing and the semiannual cycle is washed out. Turning-off extratropical mixing produces a semiannual CO tape recorder (not shown) originating at 147 hPa that is inconsistent with observations.

The third panel shows the CSD01-ICE (C-NOICE is similar) run, again vertically smoothed by the Aura MLS averaging kernel. Adding convective mixing accurately reproduces all the major observed features. The only shortcoming is that the amplitude of the observed features are underestimated. Performing the same model run without extratropical mixing (not shown) causes the annual oscillation to dominate the CO variability throughout the TTL replicating a calculation shown in Folkins et al. (2006b) (Fig. 3). This occurs for two reasons: 1) removing extratropical mixing steepens the CO vertical gradient (from chemical decay), and 2) the convective mixing rate is reduced.
(the convective detrainment rate loses a contribution from the extratropical mixing) and reduces to zero just below the CPT. The CO measurements are sensitive to extratropical mixing, chemical loss, upwelling and convective mixing. Nevertheless, these comparisons clearly show that convection is mixing air into the TTL up to the CPT.

The observed CO features are also reproduced with the Goddard Modeling Initiative (GMI) Chemical Transport Model (CTM, Schoebert et al., 2006). The GMI-CTM is driven by GEOS-4 meteorology. Like our model, the GMI-CTM underestimates the amplitude of the semiannual oscillation in CO. The semiannual oscillation in CO doesn’t propagate to as high an altitude as in the CCT-TTL model or in Aura MLS CO. This feature is consistent with the behaviors of the Zhang and McFarlane (1995, GEOS-4/GMI-CTM) and TCM (CCT-TTL) convection schemes (Folkins et al., 2006a).

4.3 HDO

Figure 5 shows a comparison between an ACE-FTS 2-year (2005/2006) tropical average δD profile and the model runs. The ACE-FTS δD profile shows a weak, slightly positive gradient in the TTL. This is broadly consistent with ATMOS (Kuang et al., 2003) δD. Quantitatively the values are in excellent agreement (−65‰, same as ATMOS). Also shown are the individual measurement points. Like ATMOS, most of the ACE-FTS δD measurements fall within ~50‰ of the mean. Overlaid is the 2 year average δD from the 3 CCT-TTL model runs. Each run is shown as a pair of lines (total of 6 lines).

The solid lines are model runs that include extratropical mixing and the dashed lines have no extratropical mixing.

The SA run without extratropical mixing falls between the two Rayleigh distillation curves that represent the seasonal extremes. This is expected because only temperature controlled freeze-drying occurs. The kinetic isotope effect is negligible in these model runs because H₂O rapidly relaxes to 100% RHi upon condensation. It is worth mentioning however, observations show that the base of the TTL is significantly enriched in δD relative to the 1-D Rayleigh distillation from the surface. This is evidence of reevaporation of HDO enriched ice detrained from tropical deep convection (Keith, 2000). The solid line showing excellent agreement with observations includes extratropical mixing. The good agreement achieved by including extratropical mixing results from a process that relaxes the TTL to extratropical observations and should not be interpreted as support for lack of evidence for direct convective mixing. Stratospheric mixing in the Gettelman and Webster (2005) isotopologue model is treated similarly and may have helped that model achieve good results without direct convective mixing.

The C-NOICE run shows the poorest agreement with observations. Without extratropical mixing, δD follows Rayleigh distillation from the surface and is much too depleted. Even with extratropical mixing, δD is still too depleted. This implies that in the tropics, convection does not remove all its ice and detrains only vapor, consistent with the Keith (2000) investigation who arrived at the same conclusion. Of course it is possible that convection supplies ice in addition to vapor. Therefore we performed another test run where \( [\text{H}_2\text{O}]_{\text{conv}} = [\text{H}_2\text{O}]_{\text{vap}} = [\text{H}_2\text{O}]_{\text{surf}}(T) \) again with and without extratropical mixing. Without extratropical mixing, δD has a minimum of −720‰ which is less than observed. Extratropical mixing increases the minimum δD to −670‰ which is in better agreement with observations but H₂O above the CPT is 0.5–1 ppmv higher than the Aura MLS observations all year. Therefore it appears difficult to simultaneously achieve good agreement with H₂O and δD with convection mixing 100% RHi vapor in the TTL.

The CSD01-ICE runs show best agreement with ACE-FTS observations with and without extratropical mixing. Perhaps most importantly, it produces a nearly constant δD ratio in the TTL in the absence of extratropical mixing. This point was strongly emphasized as favorable support for the overshooting convection dehydration model (Dessler and Sherwood, 2003). The self consistency of the two runs eliminates the need to invoke a separate mechanism to explain the extratropical δD ratio. The result in Fig. 5 is insensitive to the amount of ice retained. Doubling the ice retention has no effect on δD except to improve agreement with ACE-FTS between 121–147 hPa. Mixing more ice causes convection to have a moistening effect similar to the C-NOICE
scheme where the cold trap freeze-dries air entering the stratosphere all year. The insensitivity of $\delta D$ to the amount of retained ice precludes its quantification. Also note that the CSD01-ICE (and C-NOICE) model run unlike SA does not depend on the $\delta D$ prescribed for the lower model boundary because air sinks at this level.

The reason why CSD01-ICE is so effective at enriching the air with HDO is its ability to mix and reevaporate HDO enriched ice even in saturated environmental air. A convection scheme detraining 100% RHi, inhibits ice evaporation and hence the $\delta D$ remains close to that of the convective vapor. In the SD01 representation, convection dehydrates the air which allows more HDO enriched ice to evaporate. Ice evaporates even if the environmental air is initially saturated. This is the fundamental difference between the recent Dessler et al. (2007, DHF07 hereinafter) modification to the Fueglistaler et al. (2005) model and the earlier Dessler and Sherwood (2003) model and the CSD01-ICE configuration described here. The DHF07 model uses a mixing scheme based on temporally relaxing the environment to 100% RHi using HDO enriched vapor. As trajectories encounter saturated regions, convection does nothing. Therefore convection has its greatest impact on low relative humidity air. However, the average relative humidity of the TTL and upper troposphere is generally quite high $\sim$50–75% RHi making convective enrichment less effective. This is why DHF07 needs to use a much higher $\delta D$ ratio ($\sim$100‰) in convectively detrained vapor to achieve the same effect as $\sim$565‰ did in their earlier model.

Figure 5 shows a time series of the $\delta D$ measured by ACE-FTS and computed by the CSD01-ICE model run between 100 and 80 hPa. We show the time and value of all the ACE-FTS measurements to reveal the seasonality and spread of measurements. A smoothed running mean for the ACE-FTS measurements is overlaid. A 2-D snapshot of the CCT-TTL model is saved for each month. The model data plotted represent only 24 discrete times, each separated by 1 month. The longitudinal spread is shown in shaded grey with its running mean overlaid. Because we only save a small sample among many time steps, the variation is certainly underestimated but is sufficient to convey the basic behavior. The model shows a weak annual oscillation that is smaller than the spread of measurements seen in the ACE-FTS observations. Perhaps not surprisingly, the model produces minimum $\delta D$ during the cold dry phase of the annual oscillation in CPT temperatures. The running mean of the ACE-FTS data also show a weak seasonal dependence but with opposite phasing.

The model also shows an annual oscillation in the spread of $\delta D$ ratios whose maximum occurs during the cold phase of the CPT temperature annual cycle. The cold phase has a stronger longitudinal gradient in $\delta D$ because convective supply of HDO enriched ice is re-depleted by in situ Rayleigh distillation in the cold trap. During the warm phase of the CPT temperature oscillation, in situ Rayleigh distillation in the cold trap is weak and convective ice detrainment and extratropical mixing both of which have constant $\delta D$ ratios dominate. ACE-FTS doesn’t show a strong annual oscillation in the spread of HDO throughout the year. This is further evidence that the cold trap temperature is dehydrating air throughout the year and that the measurement, and/or longitude bin averaging of the Boreal summer Aura MLS temperatures used by the CCT-TTL model are too warm.

4.4 $H_2^{18}O$

Figure 7 shows model calculations for $\delta^{18}O$. Unfortunately there are no global data sets yet available for comparisons. However, we have shown an average of clear sky/TTL-screened measurements from ALIAS during the Cirrus Regional Study of Tropical Anvils and Cirrus Layers–Florida Area Cirrus Experiment campaign (Webster and Heymsfield, 2003; Gettelman and Webster, 2005). The model results are interesting because the relative behavior of $\delta D$ to $\delta^{18}O$ is useful to detect persistent supersaturation. Currently, there is much speculation regarding how supersaturated the tropopause is (Jensen et al., 2005). In situ aircraft measurements of $H_2O$ in the TTL support high persistent supersaturation whereas satellite and balloon frost point hygrometers show less. The difference is $\sim$30% near the tropopause between Aura MLS and balloon frost point versus a suite of in situ hygrometers flown on the WB57 aircraft (Read et al., 2007).
It is known that the distillation of isotopologues in supersaturated air affects $\delta^{18}$O more strongly than $\delta D$ and therefore $\delta^{18}$O relatively speaking will show less depletion and greater departures from Rayleigh fractionation. It has been argued that the weak dependence of observed $\delta^{18}$O relative to $\delta D$ as a function of H$_2$O in the uppermost troposphere was evidence of significant supersaturation occurring in thin cirrus clouds (Gettelman and Webster, 2005). This is not a robust conclusion however because our modeling study shows that the CSD01-ICE scheme also produces similar behavior given the limited amount of available data without supersaturation. It is worth noting that published results from models by Gettelman and Webster (2005) and Schmidt et al. (2005) like the CCT-TTL model produce less depleted $\delta^{18}$O ratios than observed by ALIAS but are within its large uncertainty.

5 Conclusions

We have described a 2-D conceptual (CCT-TTL) model that includes a cold trap, extratropical mixing, and convection. The CCT-TTL model is used to study how in situ freeze-drying, extratropical mixing and convection affect the concentration of H$_2$O, HDO, H$_2^{16}$O, and CO entering the tropical stratosphere.

We summarize our findings as follows. Based on model comparisons with Aura MLS H$_2$O, at least 9 months out of the year, H$_2$O entering the stratosphere is set by the cold trap temperature. The processes that occur during the Boreal summer are less certain. The values and/or bin averaging of the v1.5 Aura MLS temperatures suggest that the cold trap during the Boreal summer is warm enough to let other processes dominate such as extratropical mixing; however, temporal wave structure in the Aura MLS cold trap temperature is also present in Aura MLS H$_2$O, supporting temperature control for these months. Since we believe the wave structure in the Aura MLS temperature is more robust than the accuracy associated with the longitude bin averaging as well as the measurement of temperature itself, we conclude that H$_2$O is under cold trap temperature control all year. Spatial variability seen in ACE-FTS $\delta D$ throughout the year also supports year-long CPT temperature control. All year cold trap temperature control is supported by more detailed models such as Fueglistaler et al. (2003). Since extratropical mixing dehydrates the TTL during the Boreal summer, convection must hydrate to maintain H$_2$O at the CPT at its SMR. The Aura MLS CO measurement clearly provides evidence for convective mixing in the TTL up to at least the CPT. The heavy water isotopologues support convective mixing of both subsaturated air and ice. Because the $\delta D$ (or $\delta^{18}$O) profile is very insensitive to the amount of ice retained, they provide no constraint on how much ice is detrained.

These studies may shed some light on the stratospheric H$_2$O trend puzzle (Rosenlof et al., 2001). A number of ideas have been advanced which include widening of the tropical upwelling belt (Zhou et al., 2001; Seidel et al., 2007), relative changes in the seasonal circulation (Rosenlof and Reid, 2008), and microphysical changes in convection associated with increased aerosol loading from biomass burning (Sherwood, 2002). Because of successes in modeling stratospheric entry H$_2$O during the last ~15 years with a temperature control model it has also been suggested that the historical data may be suspect as its implied entry H$_2$O is too dry to be explained by CPT temperature control (Fueglistaler and Haynes, 2005). We urge some caution in accepting this view because H$_2$O entering the stratosphere may not have always been under CPT temperature control.

The decade long increase in stratospheric H$_2$O could be evidence of strengthening convective influence putting the TTL under cold trap temperature control throughout the year. Here we assume that convection has a moistening effect between the LZH and the CPT. A simple scenario has the declining CPT temperature trend associated with increased upwelling (Randel et al., 2006) which in turn leads to increased convective mixing in the TTL (Fig. 1, Folkins et al., 2006a). It is possible that decades ago, weaker upwelling would have weakened convective mixing above the LZH, allowing extratropical mixing to maintain a subsaturated cold trap during a significant portion of the year. The annual average H$_2$O entering the stratosphere would be closer to Boreal winter-time values. As upwelling increases, convective moistening in the TTL increases.
the percentage of the year that the TTL is under cold trap temperature control. This causes an increase in H$_2$O because the warmer temperature SMRs fold into the annual average. Once the TTL is under cold trap temperature control all year, the long term trend in the CPT temperature takes effect causing the decline observed in contemporary H$_2$O measurements (Randel et al., 2006). Figure 8 shows schematically how this might work. Microphysical processes as suggested by Sherwood (2002), supported by Grosvenor et al. (2007), when coupled to the CSD01-ICE scheme may explain the extreme aridity implied by the pre-1980 data by providing an explanation that could cause convection to transition over time from a dehydrating to a hydrating source in the TTL.
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Table 1. CCT-TTL Model Test Runs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convection Scheme</th>
<th>1/τ_d</th>
<th>T^env</th>
<th>[H_2O]^env</th>
<th>[H_2O]^conv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slow Ascent (SA)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convection no ice (C-NOICE)</td>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
<td>T^env</td>
<td>[H_2O]^env</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convection with ice (CS001-ICE)</td>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
<td>T_{eb}(ρ/ρ_{eb})^{2/7}</td>
<td>[H_2O]^env</td>
<td>[H_2O]^conv - [H_2O]^env</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T^env is the environmental temperature except above the CPT where a modified scheme described in the text is used.
Fig. 1. Vertical velocities, dashed line, and convective mixing rates, solid line, used in the CCT-TTL model. The annual variations are shown by the shaded region about the mean profile. The Boreal summer shows slower upwelling velocities and longer detrainment rates.

Fig. 2. (black points) H₂O time series at 147, 100, and 68 hPa, for Aura MLS v1.5. CCT-TTL model runs, (blue) SA, (green) C-NOICE, and (red) CSD01-ICE. The Aura MLS measurements are daily zonal means between 12° S–12° N. The model runs are smoothed by the Aura MLS forward model smoothing function and v1.5 averaging kernel (Read et al., 2007).
Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 2 except it shows v2.21 Aura MLS H₂O and the model runs are smoothed by the Aura MLS forward model smoothing function and v2.2 averaging kernel (Read et al., 2007).

Fig. 4. The top panel shows a time height cross section of Aura MLS v1.5 CO anomaly (percent deviation from the mean) between 12° S and 12° N. The middle panel shows the same from the CCT-TTL SA model run. The bottom panel shows the same for the CCT-TTL CSD01-ICE model run. The model runs have been smoothed by the Aura MLS forward model smoothing function and v1.5 averaging kernel (Read et al., 2007).
Read et al.: Roles of Convection, Extratropical Mixing, and In-Situ Freeze-drying in the TTL

Fig. 5. The black points are ACE FTS measurements of δD between 12° S to 12° N for 2005 and 2006. The mean of the ACE-FTS δD is overlaid (black line). The CCT-TTL model runs for the same time period are (blue) SA, (green) C-NOICE, and (red) CSD01-ICE. The CCT-TTL model runs including tropical mixing are shown as solid lines and without extratropical mixing as dashed lines. The star is an average of TTL measurements made during CRYSTAL-ICE by ALIAS with the vertical bar representing the altitude coverage of the average and the horizontal bar representing accuracy. The thin solid black lines are Rayleigh distillation curves representing the seasonal extremes in temperature from the surface. The thick dashed black lines are Rayleigh distillation curves representing the seasonal extremes beginning at the prescribed δD for the lower TTL boundary.

Fig. 6. ACE-FTS δD measurements between 12° S and 12° N between 100 and 80 hPa (solid circles) shown as a function of time. The black solid line is a temporally smoothed mean of the ACE-FTS data. The grey shading represents the longitudinal variation of δD from the CSD01-ICE run. Dark grey is the mean of the CSD01-ICE δD.
Read et al.: Roles of Convection, Extratropical Mixing, and In-Situ Freeze-drying in the TTL

We urge some caution in accepting this view because $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ entering the stratosphere may not have always... for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$.

Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 5 but for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$.

Fig. 8. A schematic representation of how $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ can show the observed trend as described in the text. The dots are the SMR under cold trap temperature control assuming $\sim$0.15 K/decade tropopause temperature trend (Lanzante et al., 2003). The thin line is $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ under increasing convective influence assumed here to reduce the time the tropics is subsaturated by 1% per year. The thick line is a hypothetical entry $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ trend.