Validation of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder ClO measurements
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We assess the quality of the version 2.2 (v2.2) ClO measurements from the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) on the Earth Observing System Aura satellite. The MLS v2.2 ClO data are scientifically useful over the range 100 to 1 hPa, with a single-profile precision of ~0.1 ppbv throughout most of the vertical domain. Vertical resolution is ~3–4 km. Comparisons with climatology and correlative measurements from a variety of different platforms indicate that both the amplitude and the altitude of the peak in the ClO profile in the upper stratosphere are well determined by MLS. The latitudinal and seasonal variations in the ClO distribution in the lower stratosphere are also well determined, but a substantial negative bias is present in both daytime and nighttime mixing ratios at retrieval levels below (i.e., pressures larger than) 22 hPa. Outside of the winter polar vortices, this negative bias can be eliminated by subtracting gridded or zonal mean nighttime values from the individual daytime measurements. In studies for which knowledge of lower stratospheric ClO mixing ratios inside the winter polar vortices to better than a few tenths of a ppbv is needed, however, day − night differences are not recommended and the negative bias must be corrected for by subtracting the estimated value of the bias from the individual measurements at each affected retrieval level.


1. Introduction

The partitioning between active and reservoir forms of chlorine modulates ozone destruction throughout the stratosphere [e.g., Solomon, 1999; World Meteorological Organization, 2007]. Chlorine monoxide, ClO, is the primary form of reactive chlorine in the stratosphere and thus a key catalyst for ozone loss. The Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) on NASA’s Earth Observing System (EOS) Aura satellite measures vertical profiles of ClO globally on a daily basis. Initial validation of the first publicly available Aura MLS ClO data set, version 1.5 (v1.5), was presented by Barret et al. [2006]. Here we report on the quality of the recently released version 2.2 (v2.2) Aura MLS ClO measurements. The measurement system is described in section 2. In addition to providing a review of instrumental and orbital characteristics, this section includes guidelines for quality control that should be applied to the v2.2 ClO measurements, documents their precision and spatial resolution, and quantifies sources of systematic uncertainty. Because the v1.5 Aura MLS ClO data have been featured in some previous studies [e.g., Schoeberl et al., 2006a; Santee et al., 2005], section 2 provides an overview of the differences between v2.2 and v1.5 ClO data. A systematic negative bias, present in v1.5 but, unfortunately, worse in v2.2, is also quantified in this section. In section 3, “zeroth-order” validation of the Aura MLS ClO data is accomplished by comparing against climatological averages in narrow equivalent latitude bands compiled from the multiyear Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) MLS ClO data set. Accuracy is assessed through comparisons with correlative data sets from a variety of platforms in section 4. Finally, in section 5 we summarize the Aura MLS ClO validation results.

2. Aura MLS ClO Measurement Description

2.1. Overview of the MLS Measurement System

Aura, the last in NASA’s EOS series of satellites, was launched on 15 July 2004 into a near-polar, sun-synchronous,
705-km altitude orbit with a 1345 local time (LT) ascending equator-crossing time [Schoeberl et al., 2006b]. One of its four instruments, Aura MLS, is an advanced successor to the Microwave Limb Sounder on UARS. Detailed information on the microwave limb sounding technique in general and the Aura MLS instrument in particular is given by Waters [1993] and Waters et al. [2006], respectively. MLS observes a large suite of atmospheric parameters by measuring millimeter- and submillimeter-wavelength thermal emission from Earth’s limb with seven radiometers covering five broad spectral regions. The standard ClO product is retrieved from radiances measured by the radiometer centered near 640 GHz, which covers the strong ClO rotational line at 649.5 GHz. ClO is also measured by the 190-GHz radiometer (using the 204.4 GHz ClO line measured by UARS MLS), but these retrievals have slightly poorer precision and are not considered further here.

The Aura MLS fields of view point forward in the direction of orbital motion and vertically scan the limb in the orbit plane, leading to data coverage from 82°S to 82°N latitude on every orbit. Thus Aura MLS obtains continuous daily sampling of both polar regions, with none of the temporal gaps from yaw maneuvers that occurred with UARS MLS. The MLS limb scans are synchronized to the Aura orbit, with 240 scans per orbit at essentially fixed latitudes. This results in ∼3500 scans per day, with an along-track separation between adjacent retrieved profiles of 1.5° great circle angle (∼165 km). The longitudinal separation of MLS measurements, set by the Aura orbit, is 10–20° over low and middle latitudes, with much finer sampling in the polar regions. Most MLS data products, including ClO, are reported on a fixed vertical pressure grid with six levels per decade change in pressure in the troposphere and stratosphere.

The MLS “Level 2” data (retrieved geophysical parameters and diagnostics at the measurement locations along the suborbital track) are generated from input “Level 1” data (calibrated radiances and engineering information) by the MLS data processing software. The MLS retrieval algorithms, described in detail by Livesey et al. [2006], are based on the standard optimal estimation method; they employ a two-dimensional approach that takes into account the fact that limb observations from consecutive scans cover significantly overlapping regions of the atmosphere. The data are divided into overlapping “chunks” consisting of the measurements in a 15° span of great circle angle (typically about 10 vertical profiles); retrievals are performed for each of these chunks independently and then joined together to produce a complete set of output [Livesey et al., 2006]. The results are reported in Level 2 Geophysical Product (L2GP) files, which are HDF-EOS (a version of the Hierarchical Data Format developed specifically for storing Earth science data generated by EOS instruments) version 5 files containing swaths in the Aura-wide standard format [Livesey et al., 2007]. A separate L2GP file is produced for each standard MLS product for each day (0000–2400 UT).

Reprocessing of the MLS data collected to date with the v2.2 algorithms is ongoing; however, at the time of writing (February 2007) only a small subset of the data, consisting of fewer than 100 days, has been reprocessed, with priority given to days for which cumulative measurements exist. Although small compared to the entire MLS data record, this set of v2.2 days spans all seasons and is sufficient for thorough investigation of the MLS data quality.

2.2. MLS ClO Data Usage Guidelines

Along with the data fields, the L2GP files contain corresponding precision fields, which quantify the impact of radiance noise on the data and, particularly in regions with less measurement sensitivity, the contribution of a priori information. The data processing software flags the precision with a negative sign when the estimated precision is worse than 50% of the a priori precision; thus only data points for which the associated precision value is positive should be used.

Three additional data quality metrics are provided for every vertical profile of each product. “Status” is a bit field indicating operational abnormalities or problems with the retrievals; see Table 1 for a complete description. Profiles for which “Status” is an odd number should not be used in any scientific study. Nonzero but even values of “Status” indicate that the profile has been marked as questionable, typically because the measurements may have been affected by the presence of thick clouds. Globally fewer than 1% of profiles are typically identified in this manner, and clouds generally have little influence on the stratospheric ClO data. Thus profiles with even values of “Status” may be used without restriction.

The “Quality” field describes the degree to which the measured MLS radiances have been fitted by the Level 2 algorithms. In theory, larger values of “Quality” indicate generally good radiance fits, whereas values closer to zero indicate poorer radiance fits and thus less reliable data. In practice, low values of “Quality” are not always associated with profiles that are obviously “bad.” As a precaution, we recommend rejecting profiles having “Quality” values less than 0.8. This threshold for “Quality” typically excludes ∼1–3% of ClO profiles on a daily basis; it is a conservative value that potentially discards a significant fraction of “good” data points while not necessarily identifying all “bad” ones.

Additional information on the success of the retrieval is conveyed by the “Convergence” field, which compares the fit achieved for each “chunk” of ∼10 profiles to that expected by the retrieval algorithms; values around 1.0 typically indicate good convergence. We recommend rejecting profiles for which “Convergence” exceeds 1.5. On a typical day this threshold for “Convergence” discards 2–5% of the ClO profiles, some (but not all) of which are filtered out by the other quality control measures.

Finally, we note that the MLS data processing algorithms often produce negative mixing ratios, especially for noisy retrievals such as ClO when values are very low. Though unphysical, the negative mixing ratios must be retained in any scientific studies making use of averages of data, in order to avoid introducing positive biases into the MLS averages.

2.3. Signature of ClO in the MLS Radiances

Sample radiances from the 640-GHz region of the spectrum for a representative day during Antarctic winter are shown in Figure 1. More specifics about the MLS...
spectrometers, the spectral bands they cover, and their target molecules are given by Waters et al. [2006], and a full representation of the MLS spectral coverage superimposed on a calculated atmospheric spectrum is presented by Read et al. [2006]. The dominant spectral feature in Figure 1 (top) is due to emission from an O$_3$ line near 650.7 GHz in the upper sideband (upper x axis); the smaller peak at 649.45 GHz arises from a cluster of ClO lines. Figure 1 (left) shows global average radiances, while Figure 1 (right) shows the region poleward of 60ºS (where chlorine has been converted from reservoir forms to ClO inside the Antarctic polar vortex) in order to emphasize the ClO spectral signature, which typically has an amplitude of ~10–15 K in the lower stratosphere when ClO is enhanced. The residuals shown in Figure 1 (bottom) indicate that on average the retrievals are fitting the radiances to within ~5% (~0.5 K) for these bands.

### 2.4. Precision, Spatial Resolution, and Vertical Range

The precision of the MLS ClO measurements is estimated empirically by computing the standard deviation of the descending (i.e., nighttime) profiles in the 20º-wide latitude band centered around the equator. For this region and time of day, natural atmospheric variability should be negligible relative to the measurement noise. As shown in Figure 2, the observed scatter in the v2.2 data is ~0.1 ppbv from 100 to 3 hPa, rising to ~0.3 ppbv at 1 hPa, above which it increases sharply. The scatter is essentially invariant with time, as seen by comparing the results for the different days shown in Figure 2.

The single-profile precision estimates cited here are, to first order, independent of latitude and season, but it should be borne in mind that the scientific utility of individual MLS profiles (i.e., signal to noise) varies with ClO abundance. Outside of the lower stratospheric winter polar vortices, within which ClO is often strongly enhanced,
the single-profile precision exceeds typical ClO mixing ratios, necessitating the use of averages for scientific studies. In most cases, precision can be improved by averaging, with the precision of an average of $N$ profiles being $1/\sqrt{N}$ times the precision of an individual profile (note that this is not the case for averages of successive along-track profiles, which are not completely independent because of horizontal smearing).

The observational determination of the precision is compared in Figure 2 to the theoretical precision values reported by the Level 2 data processing algorithms. The predicted precision exceeds the observed scatter, particularly above 15 hPa. This is a common feature of optimal estimation retrieval systems, indicating that the a priori information and the vertical smoothing applied to stabilize the retrieval are having a nonnegligible influence by reducing the variability in the retrieved values at these levels. Because the theoretical precisions take into account occasional variations in instrument performance, the best estimate of the precision of an individual data point is the value quoted for that point in the L2GP files, but it should be borne in mind that this approach can slightly overestimate the actual measurement noise.

For comparison, Figure 2 also shows precision estimates for the v1.5 MLS ClO data. In terms of precision, the v2.2 ClO data are not greatly different from v1.5; other differences between the two versions are discussed in section 2.6.

As mentioned previously, the MLS retrieval algorithms employ a two-dimensional approach that accounts for the fact that the radiances for each limb scan are influenced by the state of the atmosphere at adjacent scans along the forward looking instrument line of sight [Livesey et al., 2006]. The resolution of the retrieved data can be described using “averaging kernels” [e.g., Rodgers, 2000]; the two-dimensional nature of the MLS data processing system means that the kernels describe both vertical and horizontal resolution. Smoothing, imposed on the retrieval system in both the vertical and horizontal directions to enhance retrieval stability and precision, degrades the inherent resolution of the measurements. Consequently, the vertical resolution of the v2.2 ClO data, as determined from the full width at half maximum of the rows of the averaging kernel matrix shown in Figure 3, is $\sim 3$–4.5 km. Note that there is considerable overlap in the averaging kernels for the 100 and 147 hPa retrieval surfaces, indicating that the 147 hPa retrieval does not provide completely independent information. Figure 3 also shows horizontal averaging kernels, from which the along-track horizontal resolution is determined to be $\sim 250$–500 km over most of the vertical range. The cross-track resolution, set by the width of the field of view of the 640-GHz radiometer, is $\sim 3$ km.

Although ClO is retrieved (and reported in the L2GP files) over the range from 147 to 0.001 hPa, on the basis of the drop off in precision and resolution and the lack of independent information contributed by the measurements, the data are not deemed reliable at the extremes of the retrieval range. Thus we recommend that v2.2 ClO be used for scientific studies only at the levels between 100 and 1 hPa.

2.5. Quantification of Systematic Uncertainty

A major component of the validation of MLS data is the quantification of the various sources of systematic uncertainty. Systematic uncertainties arise from instrumental issues (e.g., radiometric calibration, field of view characterization), spectroscopic uncertainty, and approximations in the retrieval formulation and implementation. This section summarizes the relevant results of a comprehensive quantification of these uncertainties that was performed for all MLS products. More information on this assessment is given by Read et al. [2007, Appendix A].

The impact on MLS measurements of radiance (or pointing where appropriate) of each identified source of systematic uncertainty has been quantified and modeled. These modeled impacts correspond to either 2-$\sigma$ estimates of uncertainties in the relevant parameters, or an estimate of their maximum reasonable errors based on instrument knowledge and/or design requirements. The effect of these perturbations on retrieved MLS products has been quantified for each source of uncertainty by one of two methods.

In the first method, sets of modeled errors corresponding to the possible magnitude of each uncertainty have been applied to simulated MLS cloud-free radiances, based on a model atmosphere, for a whole day of MLS observations. These sets of perturbed radiances have then been run through the routine MLS data processing algorithms, and the differences between these runs and the results of the “unperturbed” run have been used to quantify the systematic uncertainty in each case. The impact of the perturbations varies from product to product and among uncertainty sources. Although the term “systematic uncertainty” is often associated with consistent additive and/or multiplicative biases, many sources of “systematic” uncertainty in the MLS measurement system give rise to additional scatter in the products. For example, although an error in the O$_3$ spectroscopy is a bias on the fundamental parameter, it has an effect on the retrievals of species with weaker signals (e.g., ClO) that is dependent on the amount and morphology of atmospheric ozone. The extent to which
such terms can be expected to average down is estimated to first order by these “full up studies” through their separate consideration of the bias and scatter each source of uncertainty introduces into the data. The difference between the retrieved product in the unperturbed run and the original “truth” model atmosphere is taken as a measure of uncertainties due to retrieval formulation and numerics. To test the sensitivity of the retrieved mixing ratios to the a priori information, another retrieval of the unperturbed radiances is performed with the a priori adjusted by a factor of 1.5.

In the second method, the potential impact of some remaining (typically small) systematic uncertainties has been quantified through calculations based on simplified models of the MLS measurement system [see Read et al., 2007]. Unlike the “full up studies,” these calculations only provide estimates of “gain uncertainty” (i.e., possible multiplicative error) introduced by the source in question; this approach does not quantify possible biases or additional scatter for these minor sources of uncertainty.

Figure 4 summarizes the results of the error characterization for the MLS v2.2 ClO measurements. The colored lines show the magnitudes of expected biases, additional scatter, and possible scaling uncertainties the various errors may introduce into the data, and should be interpreted as 2-σ estimates of their probable magnitude. The dominant source of uncertainty throughout the profile originates from the spectral distortion induced in the calibrated MLS radiances by departures from a linear response within the signal chains, leading to gain compression. The exact nature of this distortion has yet to be fully characterized; however, a representative signature has been used to estimate the resultant uncertainty (cyan lines). Other potentially significant sources of error include uncertainty in the field of view pointing offsets between the two 118-GHz radiometers and the 240-GHz radiometer (red lines), uncertainty in continuum emission/absorption and the width of the spectral line function of MLS retrieval level, indicating the region of the atmosphere from which information is contributing to the measurements on the individual retrieval surfaces, which are denoted by plus signs in corresponding colors. The dashed black line indicates the resolution, determined from the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the averaging kernels, approximately scaled into kilometers (top axis). (top) Vertical averaging kernels (integrated in the horizontal dimension for five along-track profiles) and resolution. The solid black line shows the integrated area under each kernel (horizontally and vertically); values near unity imply that the majority of information for that MLS data point has come from the measurements, whereas lower values imply substantial contributions from a priori information. (bottom) Horizontal averaging kernels (integrated in the vertical dimension) and resolution. The individual horizontal averaging kernels are scaled in the vertical direction such that a unit change is equivalent to one decade in pressure.
As will be discussed in more detail in the following sections, a substantial (~0.1–0.4 ppbv) negative bias is present in the v2.2 MLS ClO measurements at retrieval levels below 22 hPa. That such a significant feature in the data is not explained by the results presented in Figure 4 indicates limitations in our uncertainty quantification study. Potential but unaccounted for sources of error include contamination of the ClO retrieval from interfering species (other than ozone, the impact of which was quantified in Figure 4). Possible contaminant species include CH$_3$Cl, which has lines in two wing channels of the band used to measure ClO, and CH$_3$OH, which has a cluster of lines in the image sideband with an intermediate frequency nearly the same as that of ClO. Experiments with precursory ‘‘version 3’’ algorithms in which CH$_3$Cl is retrieved show great promise in reducing the negative bias in the lower stratospheric ClO data, as shown in Figure 5. Although rigorous validation of the resulting CH$_3$Cl field has not yet been undertaken, preliminary investigations suggest that the morphology of the retrieved CH$_3$Cl profiles is physically reasonable compared to previous measurements [e.g., Toon et al., 1999; Nassar et al., 2006]. Adding CH$_3$OH to the retrieval yields only a modest further improvement in the ClO bias (Figure 5). Although more development and testing are needed, our initial results indicate that inclusion of these additional species in the MLS retrieval system reduces the negative bias in ClO to values in line with the systematic error analysis.

2.6. Comparison With v1.5 ClO Data

Early validation analyses of the v1.5 Aura MLS ClO data [Livesey et al., 2005] revealed a persistent negative bias of as much as ~0.3 ppbv at low and middle latitudes in both daytime and nighttime mixing ratios at the lowest retrieval levels (below about 32 hPa). Comparisons with coincident measurements of ClO from the Submillimetre Radiometer (SMR) on board the Odin satellite confirmed a systematic low bias of this magnitude in the MLS v1.5 data outside of the winter polar regions [Barret et al., 2006].

Figure 6, which shows the comparison between v1.5 and v2.2 for 93 days for which both versions of data were available at the time of writing (February 2007), indicates that the negative bias has been exacerbated in v2.2, with the mixing ratios at 100 hPa more than 0.1 ppbv lower than they were in v1.5 in the global average. Small differences between v1.5 and v2.2 are evident elsewhere in the profile as well; maximum mixing ratios at the profile peak in the lower stratosphere are slightly larger, whereas mixing ratios...
at the secondary peak near 2–3 hPa are slightly smaller (both by \(0.02\) ppbv in the global mean) than they were in v1.5. The differences in peak values in both the upper and lower stratosphere can also be seen in the zonal mean fields in Figure 7.

[28] Differences between the v1.5 and v2.2 retrieval algorithms giving rise to these effects include changes in the representation of the continuum emission for the 640-GHz region, incorporation of additional \(O_3\) lines, use of new direct laboratory measurements of \(O_3\) line widths (including for isotopic and vibrational state lines) for several lines in this region, use of an updated version of the HITRAN database (2004 rather than 2000), and changes in the tangent pressure retrieval. These refinements led to substantial improvements in most MLS data products. Although cumulatively they resulted in an increase in the severity of the negative bias in ClO at the lowest retrieval levels, nevertheless the v2.2 ClO retrieval is considered more reliable as other compensating errors have been eliminated. We therefore strongly recommend the use of v2.2, rather than v1.5, MLS ClO measurements for scientific studies.

2.7. Quantification of the Systematic Negative Bias

[29] To quantify the magnitude of the negative bias in the v2.2 MLS ClO data and look for possible latitudinal and temporal variations in it, we have examined time series of data from the ascending (primarily daytime) and descending (primarily nighttime) sides of the orbit, as well as ascending – descending (day – night) difference values for all days that have so far been reprocessed in v2.2 (93 days spanning the time since launch in July 2004 through February 2007). The data have been binned and averaged in 10\(^\circ\)-wide equivalent latitude bands between 80\(^\circ\)S and 80\(^\circ\)N on the 660, 580, 520, 460, and 410 K potential temperature surfaces, corresponding to pressure levels of 22, 32, 46, 68, and 100 hPa, respectively; as an example, Figure 8 shows the results for 460 K. Averages were calculated in equivalent latitude (EqL, the latitude encircling the same area as a given contour of potential vorticity (PV) \([\text{Butchart and Remsberg}, 1986]\)) rather than geographic latitude to obtain a vortex-centered view, ensuring that only similar air masses are averaged together and segregating the regions of ClO enhancement inside the winter polar vortex from the extravortex regions, where ClO mixing ratios are generally very low.

Figure 5. Comparison of mean nighttime (descending) ClO profiles averaged over the region 25\(^\circ\)S–25\(^\circ\)N from v2.2 processing (black) and from precursory “version 3” algorithms in which CH\(_3\)Cl (red) and CH\(_3\)OH (cyan) are also retrieved.

Figure 6. Comparison of v2.2 and v1.5 Aura MLS ClO measurements from 93 days for which both versions of data were available at the time of writing (February 2007). (left) Absolute differences (v2.2 – v1.5); the black line with dots (symbols indicate MLS retrieval surfaces) shows mean differences, and the solid black line shows the standard deviation of the differences. (middle) Same, for percent differences (computed relative to v1.5). Large percent differences at the 32 hPa retrieval level arise because ClO mixing ratios are very low in this region. (right) Global mean profiles for v2.2 (black, with dots) and v1.5 (grey). Note that the ClO retrieval has been extended down to 147 hPa in v2.2.
Zonal mean cross sections of (top) v2.2 and Barret et al. [2006] speculated that the negative bias in regions of highest temperature. In this case, the negative bias in regions of highest temperature. During winter, the bias exhibits only small variations with increasing temperature have been seen in satellite observations from UARS MLS [e.g., Avallone and Toohey, 2001; World Meteorological Organization, 2007, and references therein]. Similar increases in nighttime ClO mixing ratios in the winter polar vortices with increasing temperature have been seen in satellite observations from UARS MLS [e.g., Waters et al., 1993] and Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) [Glatthor et al., 2004], and ClO mixing ratios of 0.7–0.8 ppbv have been measured at night inside the Arctic vortex by Odin/SMR [Berthet et al., 2005], with maximum nighttime ClO abundances observed in the regions of highest temperature.

At the times/locations at which chlorine is not activated, the nighttime reservoir is ClONO$_2$, and abundances of ClO are insignificant. In this case, the negative bias in the MLS ClO data can be eliminated by subtracting gridded or zonal mean nighttime values from the individual daytime measurements. Figure 8, however, illustrates why taking day–night differences is not a practical approach inside the winter polar vortices: Subtraction of the nonnegligible nighttime ClO values substantially reduces the degree of chlorine activation indicated by the data. On the basis of a good correlation between MLS and SMR observations in the high-latitude lower stratosphere, with both instruments measuring the same mean ClO enhancement in the Antarctic spring vortex, Barret et al. [2006] speculated that the negative bias was absent in v1.5 MLS ClO for conditions of strong chlorine activation. No instrumental or retrieval issues suggest, however, that the bias should disappear when ClO is enhanced. We therefore believe that it is necessary to subtract an estimate of the bias from the individual measurements at each of the affected levels, whether or not ClO is enhanced.

To determine the magnitude of the additive bias at each retrieval pressure level, we calculated daily averages of the ClO measurements in 20°-wide geographic latitude bins for which the solar zenith angle (SZA) is greater than 100° and the local solar time is between 2200 and 0400. To ensure that ClO was not enhanced, we restricted consideration to the days between 1 May and 1 November for the two northern high-latitude bins (60°–70°N and 70°–90°N) and to the days between 1 November and 1 May for the two southern high-latitude bins (50°–70°S and 70°–90°S). For the other latitude bands the calculations were performed for all 93 days (spanning all seasons) for which v2.2 measurements were available at the time of writing. The average of the daily mean values was then computed for each latitude band and pressure level. As shown in Figure 9, the bias in the ClO data worsens with increasing pressure. The dotted lines mark the magnitudes of the global mean biases estimated by averaging together the values for the individual latitude bins at each level, which are −0.04, −0.14, −0.31, and −0.41 ppbv for the 32, 46, 68, and 100 hPa retrieval levels, respectively. No significant bias appears to be present in the data for the retrieval levels at or above 22 hPa. Figure 9 also shows, however, that the bias exhibits significant (up to 0.2 ppbv) latitudinal variation. In the lower stratosphere, ClO is mainly of interest in the winter polar regions, and using the global mean bias estimates, which are strongly influenced by the larger values at low latitudes, may lead to overcompensation. Thus for most analyses it is more appropriate to estimate the magnitude of the bias by including only the middle- and high-latitude bins in the averages (i.e., excluding the 30°–30°N region), leading to values of −0.02, −0.12, −0.27, and −0.41 ppbv at 32, 46, 68, and 100 hPa, respectively (represented by the dashed lines in Figure 9). Further refinement in these bias estimates may be possible as more v2.2 ClO data become available, including better understanding of the latitudinal and/or seasonal dependencies of their magnitudes.
populations, with the vortex (i.e., at high EqL in the winter hemisphere) data exhibiting little or no bias compared to data from outside the vortex. Interpretation of these plots is complicated by the fact that measurements from the 46-hPa retrieval surface, where the bias is smaller, are contributing to the values at 460 K inside the cold vortex, whereas measurements from the 68- and 100-hPa retrieval surfaces, where the bias is larger, are contributing to the values in the warmer extravortex regions. It is thus necessary to correct individual ClO measurements by subtracting the estimated negative bias at each of the affected retrieval levels before interpolation to potential temperature surfaces, as shown in Figure 10 (right). The increase in nighttime high-EqL ClO with increasing temperature up to ~210–215 K is consistent with expectation as the equilibrium between ClO and its dimer shifts toward ClO and agrees well with the behavior seen in SMR measurements [Berthet et al., 2005].

3. Comparison With UARS MLS Climatology

[35] The MLS on board UARS measured the global distribution of stratospheric ClO for much of the 1990s,
albeit with approximately monthly gaps in high-latitude coverage arising from UARS yaw maneuvers and with significantly reduced temporal sampling in the latter half of the decade. A comprehensive overview of the seasonal, interannual, and interhemispheric variations in ClO in EqL bands throughout the lower stratosphere (420–700 K potential temperature) was produced from the UARS MLS data by Santee et al. [2003]. Taking a similar approach with Aura MLS measurements provides a means of quantitatively comparing to the ClO climatology derived from UARS MLS data. The daily means in Figure 11 were computed by binning both the UARS and the Aura MLS ClO measurements into 5°/C176 EqL bands and averaging; results are shown for 10 EqL bands over annual cycles in both hemispheres. All UARS MLS data collected from 1991 through 2000 are represented by grey dots. To illustrate the degree of interannual variability in the Aura MLS data record, the v1.5 ClO measurements obtained in each year since launch in July 2004 are depicted in different shades of blue, with results from the v2.2 retrievals performed to date overlaid in red. Note that neither the v1.5 nor the v2.2 Aura MLS data have been corrected for the negative bias described in section 2.7. Data from both MLS instruments have been interpolated to the 520 K potential temperature surface (~46 hPa, 19 km) near the peak in the ClO vertical profile, using temperatures from the U.K. Met Office analyses [Swinbank et al., 2002] for UARS MLS and from NASA’s Global Modeling and Assimilation Office Goddard Earth Observing System Version 4.0.3 (GEOS-4) [Bloom et al., 2005] for Aura MLS.

Both the latitudinal variation of ClO and its evolution over an annual cycle match those in the climatology based on the multiyear UARS MLS data set. Figure 11, however, clearly shows the pervasive low bias in both the v1.5 and the v2.2 Aura MLS ClO measurements in the lower stratosphere (compare the grey dots with the red and blue dots). Equivalent latitude means of ClO at other levels throughout the middle and upper stratosphere (not shown) indicate excellent agreement on average with the climatological values, but, as seen also in Figure 11, much less scatter is present in the v2.2 data than in the corresponding UARS MLS measurements.

4. Comparisons With Other Observations

In this section the accuracy of the Aura MLS v2.2 ClO measurements is assessed through comparisons with correlative data sets from a variety of different platforms, some of which were acquired in dedicated Aura validation campaigns. For most of these comparisons we use the traditional approach of considering matched pairs of profiles that are closely colocated both geographically and temporally. The coincidence criteria used to select the matches vary and are stated in each subsection below. In some cases, use of an additional filter based on the potential vorticity of the profiles (to ensure that only meteorologically consistent air masses are compared) was explored but was found to have little impact on the average differences.

Figure 9. Estimates of the bias in MLS v2.2 ClO data in 20°-wide geographic latitude bands at 100, 68, 46, 32, and 22 hPa (labeled lines drawn with different symbols). Vertical error bars reflect the standard deviations in the averages of the daily mean values. The magnitudes of the global mean bias at each pressure level are denoted by the dotted lines; the dashed lines represent the average biases calculated using only the middle- and high-latitude bins (i.e., excluding the 30°S–30°N region).

Figure 10. MLS v2.2 nighttime (solar zenith angle (SZA) >100°, local solar time (LST) between 2200 and 0400) ClO measurements at 460 K as a function of GEOS-4 temperature for two representative days during (top) northern (28 January 2005) and (bottom) southern (7 September 2005) winter. Data points are color-coded by equivalent latitude. (left) Uncorrected MLS data and (right) corrected data, for which the estimate of the bias (based on the middle- and high-latitude values; dashed lines in Figure 9) has been subtracted from the individual “raw” mixing ratios at each affected retrieval pressure level prior to interpolation to potential temperature.
Figure 11. Time series of MLS ClO measurements at 520 K potential temperature (corresponding to ~46 hPa, 19 km) for the (left) Southern and (right) Northern Hemispheres. Daily means were calculated by binning the measurements into 5°-wide EqL bands and averaging. Grey dots depict version 5 UARS MLS ClO data taken over the period 1991–2000; blue dots depict v1.5 Aura MLS ClO data, with different shades of blue representing different years as indicated in the legend, and red dots depict v2.2 Aura MLS data for the 93 days processed at the time of writing. Only daytime data were included in the averages (SZA < 92°, 1000 < LST < 1500). Dashed vertical lines demark calendar months.
4.2. Balloon Measurements

[40] As part of the Aura validation effort, measurements of ClO were obtained near Aura overpasses from the JPL Submillimeterwave Limb Sounder-2 (SLS-2) during a balloon campaign carried out from Fort Sumner, New Mexico, in September 2005. SLS-2 is a high-resolution heterodyne radiometer-spectrometer that measures limb thermal emission spectra of several species, including ClO, at frequencies near 650 GHz. A previous version of the instrument was described by Stachnik et al. [1999]; the newer SLS-2 incorporates an LHe-cooled superconductor insulator superconductor (SIS) quasi-optic mixer that has greater than 20 times the radiometric sensitivity of the earlier Schottky mixer instrument (system temperature $T_{sys}$ of ~250 K double-sideband compared to ~5500 K). Vertical resolution of the SLS-2 data is roughly 2–3 km below the balloon float altitude (~35 km) and 5–6 km above.

[41] Comparisons between the balloon measurements and coincident MLS measurements are shown in Figure 12, where the MLS profiles are within 1° of latitude, 12° of longitude, and 4 h of the balloon measurements. Good agreement is seen in the upper stratosphere, in terms of both the altitude and the approximate magnitude of the
high-altitude peak. In the lower stratosphere, the significant negative bias in the MLS ClO retrievals below 32 hPa is evident. Correcting for this bias by subtracting its estimated value at each of the affected retrieval levels (section 2.7) leads to much better agreement, well within the combined error bars.

4.3. Aircraft Measurements

4.3.1. ASUR

[42] Several aircraft campaigns have been conducted since the launch of Aura; although they have had strong science components, a significant focus of some of these campaigns has also been to collect observations to assist in the validation of Aura measurements. During one of these campaigns, the Polar Aura Validation Experiment (PAVE) in January/February 2005, the Airborne Submillimeter Radiometer (ASUR) made remote sensing measurements of ClO on flights of the NASA DC-8 research aircraft within and on the edge of the Arctic polar vortex (see especially Kleinbohlt et al. [2005, auxiliary material]). Flights were coordinated to align along Aura instrument ground tracks near the time of the satellite overpass, as illustrated in Figure 13; note, however, that some of these flights were targeted toward validation of data from other Aura instruments, and in these cases the aircraft flight tracks are offset from those of MLS. ASUR is a passive heterodyne instrument that measures ClO using the cluster of lines at 649.5 GHz; more information on the ASUR measurement and retrieval system is given by Kleinbohlt et al. [2002]. Along with other stratospheric trace gases, vertical profiles of ClO are retrieved from spectrally resolved pressure-broadened emission lines with a vertical resolution of 5–10 km calculated on a 2 km vertical grid over the range from

4.3.1. ASUR

Figure 13. Flight tracks (lines color-coded by date) of the NASA DC-8 aircraft during the Polar Aura Validation Experiment (PAVE) mission conducted from Portsmouth, New Hampshire, in January/February 2005. Only flights on days for which both MLS v2.2 and ASUR data are available are shown. The MLS ground tracks on these days are indicated by solid dots in corresponding colors.
~15 to 50 km. The accuracy of the ClO measurements is estimated to be ~10% or 0.15 ppbv, whichever is higher.

Figures 14 and 15 compare the closest v2.2 MLS profiles to ASUR profiles obtained along Aura overpasses during PA VE (coincidence criteria: ±2° latitude, ±4° longitude, ±2 h); all comparisons are of profiles obtained in daylight. Figure 14 shows a few representative ClO profiles from three of the PAVE flights, selected to illustrate unenhanced, moderately enhanced, and strongly enhanced conditions. MLS, with considerably better vertical resolution, observes a much more sharply defined peak in ClO in the lower stratosphere than does ASUR. To account for the differing vertical resolutions of the two data sets, in Figure 14 we also show results from applying the ASUR averaging kernels to the MLS data; in general, degrading the resolution of the MLS ClO measurements in this manner significantly improves the comparisons. Agreement is typically good near the secondary peak in the upper stratosphere, with average differences (Figure 15) between the MLS profiles multiplied by the ASUR averaging kernels and the ASUR profiles less than 0.1 ppbv (10%), well within the combined uncertainties in the two instruments. The smoothed MLS profiles have smaller maximum abundances in the lower stratosphere, however, with average differences increasing below 30 km to greater than 0.4 ppbv (60%). The disparity between the two measurements in the lower stratosphere is significantly reduced but not eliminated when the negative bias in the v2.2 MLS ClO measurements is corrected.

4.3.2. HALOX

In situ measurements of highly enhanced ClO were made by the HALOX instrument on board the stratospheric research aircraft M55 Geophysica during a flight inside the Arctic polar vortex on 7 March 2005 [see also von Hobe et al., 2006, auxiliary material], just prior to the major final warming. A detailed description of the HALOX instrument, which employs the chemical conversion resonance fluorescence technique to measure ClO, is provided by von Hobe et al. [2005]. ClO is measured with a time resolution of 10 s, a detection limit of 5 ppt, and an accuracy of ~15%.

Using HALOX data for MLS validation purposes raises the issue of how to meaningfully compare the considerably coarser-resolution and less precise satellite measurements, which represent “average” conditions over a relatively large volume of air, with the highly precise in situ measurements, which represent conditions at a local point. Geophysical variability inevitably complicates interpretation of the comparison of data sets having sampling volumes of such vastly different scales. Furthermore, since the HALOX data were not obtained as part of a coordinated Aura validation program, coincident measurements are limited. In the analysis presented here, trajectory calculations have been used to map the air masses measured by HALOX to their locations at the time of the MLS overpasses; the closest coincidences between MLS and HALOX (advected to the MLS measurement times) occur on the ascent and dive segments of the flight (Figure 16a). Although both data sets represent daytime conditions, the
HALOX data were obtained earlier in the morning at slightly higher SZAs (Figure 16b).

[46] In Figure 16 we take a qualitative approach in which the in situ measurements are overlaid on the MLS ClO field geographically closest to the Geophysica flight track. Figure 16c shows the comparisons with the “raw” v2.2 MLS ClO data; in Figure 16d the bias correction described in section 2.7 has been applied to the MLS data. Results are generally encouraging, especially at the lowest retrieval levels. HALOX frequently senses fine-scale structure not observable by MLS, but for the most part the spatial trends are roughly in agreement. MLS mixing ratios, however, are considerably lower than those recorded by HALOX at the highest altitudes (lowest pressures) attained by the Geophysica, even after the negative bias in the MLS data has been accounted for (Figure 16d).

[47] The qualitative comparisons in Figure 16 are hampered by the disparities in sampling and resolution between the two instruments. As discussed by Livesey et al. [2007], proper comparison of MLS and in situ measurements involves a two-step process: the high-resolution in situ data are first downsampled to the MLS retrieval grid using a least squares fit, and the smoothed data are then multiplied by the MLS averaging kernels. This kind of quantitative comparison is shown for the separate flight segments in Figure 17. After conversion to the coarse-resolution MLS grid, the HALOX measurements obtained during ascent (blue) match the coincident bias-corrected MLS profile to within 0.1 ppbv (15%) at 100 hPa, although lack of high-altitude HALOX measurements during this flight leg increases the uncertainty of the smoothed value at this level. The dive (red) provided close coincidences with two MLS profiles, which show excellent agreement (within ~5%) at 100 hPa. At 68 hPa, however, HALOX sees ~0.25 ppbv (~15–20%) more ClO than indicated by MLS. This degree of agreement is within the combined accuracies of the two instruments.

4.4. Satellite Measurements

[48] Satellite measurements provide the opportunity for more spatially and temporally extensive intercomparisons than those with ground-based, balloon, or aircraft data sets. They are also typically well matched to the MLS horizontal and vertical resolution. ClO is retrieved from spectra measured by MIPAS on board the European Space Agency Environmental Satellite (Envisat), but, although these data

Figure 16. (a) Flight track (dark magenta) of the M55 Geophysica aircraft inside the Arctic polar vortex over Europe on 7 March 2005. Nearby MLS ground tracks on this day are denoted by asterisks; the specific MLS measurement points displayed in the contour plots of Figures 16c and 16d are highlighted with diamonds, and the three MLS profiles shown in Figure 17 are outlined in blue and red. The position of the HALOX flight path shifted to the time of the MLS overpass using trajectory calculations based on European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) reanalyses are also shown (small plus signs), color-coded for different flight segments: ascent (pale blue), dive (pale red), level flight on return leg (grey) and descent (pale green). Overlaid in black are contours of GEOS-4 PV representative of the vortex edge at 410 K (solid line) and 460 K (dashed line), corresponding to the MLS retrieval levels at 100 and 68 hPa, respectively. (b) Solar zenith angle (large black symbols) and local solar time (small orange symbols) for the MLS (asterisks) and HALOX (plus signs) measurements. (c) V2.2 MLS ClO (contours) for the measurement points indicated in Figure 16a. The dashed line at 100 hPa signifies that the MLS data below this level are not considered reliable for scientific studies. Overlaid (solid circles) are the HALOX ClO measurements. (d) As in Figure 16c but with the bias correction described in section 2.7 applied to the MLS ClO measurements.
have been presented for specific studies [Glatthor et al., 2004; von Clarmann et al., 2005], they are not readily available. The Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) on the Canadian Space Agency’s SCISAT-1 mission also measures ClO, but these data remain a research product requiring special handling at this time [Dufour et al., 2006; K. Walker, personal communication, 2005]. Therefore, we restrict our attention to comparisons with ClO measured by the SMR instrument on board the Swedish-led Odin satellite [Murtagh et al., 2002].

Odin was launched in February 2001 into a near-polar, sun-synchronous, ~600-km altitude orbit with an 1800 LT ascending node. Odin operates in a time-sharing arrangement, alternating between astronomy and aeronomy modes; SMR observes limb thermal emission from ClO on roughly two measurement days per week using an autocorrelator spectrometer centered at 501.8 GHz. Operational Level 2 ClO retrievals are produced by the Chalmers University of Technology (Göteborg, Sweden). Retrievals from a similar data processing system in France were compared to MLS v1.5 ClO measurements by Barret et al. [2006]. The retrieval methodology and error characterization for the Chalmers version 1.2 data, and the differences between the French and Swedish data processing systems, are described in detail by Urban et al. [2005]. The main differences between the Chalmers versions 1.2 and 2.0 are summarized by Urban et al. [2006]. Here we use Chalmers version 2.1 data, which for ClO are very similar to those in version 2.0, with differences typically smaller than ~50 pptv. The Chalmers version 2.0 ClO data have horizontal resolution of ~300–600 km, vertical resolution of 2.5–3 km, and single-scan precision better than 0.15 ppbv over the range from 15 to 50 km [Urban et al., 2005, 2006]; similar values apply for the version 2.1 ClO data.
The estimated total systematic error is less than 0.1 ppbv throughout the vertical range [Urban et al., 2005, 2006]. Only good quality SMR data points are included in these comparisons (i.e., assigned flag QUALITY = 0, and a measurement response for each retrieved mixing ratio larger than 0.75 to ensure that the information has been derived from the measurements, with a negligible contribution from the climatological a priori profile [Urban et al., 2005; Barret et al., 2006]).

Figures 18 and 19 compare all coincident profiles obtained within ±1° in latitude, ±4° in longitude, and ±12 h from 49 days for which both SMR and v2.2 MLS data are available. All seasons are represented in this set of comparison days. Because the vertical resolution of the SMR ClO measurements is similar to that of the Aura MLS ClO

Figure 20. As in Figure 19, with additional SZA and LST coincidence criteria imposed (see text).
measurements, for these comparisons the SMR profiles have been linearly interpolated in log-pressure to the fixed MLS retrieval pressure surfaces. The scatterplots of Figure 18 indicate good agreement in the general morphology of the ClO distribution, although the MLS data indicate stronger enhancements in the polar regions, particularly in the north; this apparent disparity is most likely related to solar zenith angle and local solar time differences between the matched profiles. The large negative bias in the MLS retrievals is evident in the comparisons at the lowest levels, with average differences between MLS and SMR ClO exceeding 0.45 ppbv at 100 hPa (Figure 19). The discrepancy between the two measurements in the lower stratosphere is significantly reduced but not eliminated when the negative bias in the v2.2 MLS ClO measurements is corrected. A possible high bias of 0.1–0.2 ppbv in the SMR lower stratospheric measurements obtained outside the vortex during nighttime, when ClO abundances fall below the detection limit of the instrument [Berthet et al., 2005], may largely explain the remaining offset. Differences are typically within ~0.05 ppbv at and above 32 hPa, with MLS values larger through most of this region; because of the very low mixing ratios, however, these values correspond to percent differences larger than 100% at some levels. As with the ground-based measurements, the amplitude and the altitude of the peak in the upper stratosphere are matched well.

The analysis presented in Figures 18 and 19 takes no account of the differences in solar zenith angle in the two ClO data sets. Barret et al. [2006] estimated that a 2° increase in SZA roughly corresponds to a 0.1 ppbv decrease in ClO, on the order of the estimated single-scan precision of the measurements; they concluded that a SZA coincidence criterion of ±2° is appropriate for an intercomparison of the ClO measurements from MLS and SMR. Because of differences in the observational patterns of the two instruments (both in sun-synchronous orbits), measurement points satisfying this SZA filter occur only at the highest latitudes, poleward of 70° in both hemispheres. In Figure 20 we summarize the comparison results obtained by imposing the additional SZA criterion and tightening the local solar time criterion to ±2 h. Such stringent coincidence criteria greatly reduce the number of matched points but significantly improve the agreement between the two data sets, with differences less than 0.05 ppbv (corresponding to ~10–30% over most of the profile) everywhere except at the bottom two levels, where the negative bias in the MLS data is largest. Correcting for the MLS bias enhances the agreement, although the results indicate that MLS actually overestimates ClO relative to SMR by more than 0.15 ppbv at 100 hPa.

5. Summary and Conclusions

[52] We have assessed the quality and reliability of the Aura MLS version 2.2 (v2.2) ClO measurements. The standard ClO product is derived from radiances measured by the radiometer centered near 640 GHz; ClO is also retrieved using radiances from the 190-GHz radiometer, but these data have poorer precision. The MLS v2.2 ClO data are scientifically useful over the range 100 to 1 hPa. A summary of the precision and resolution (vertical and horizontal) of the v2.2 ClO measurements as a function of altitude is given in Table 2. The impact of various sources of systematic uncertainty has been quantified through a comprehensive set of retrieval simulations. Table 2 also includes estimates of the biases and scaling errors in the measurements compiled from this uncertainty analysis. The systematic uncertainty budget deduced through this set of simulations is, however, inconsistent with a significant artifact apparent in the measurements: a negative bias present in both daytime and nighttime mixing ratios below 22 hPa. Outside of the winter polar vortices, this negative bias can be eliminated by subtracting gridded or zonal mean nighttime values from the individual daytime measurements. In studies for which knowledge of lower stratospheric ClO mixing ratios inside the winter polar vortices to better than a few tenths of a ppbv is needed, however, day–night differences are not recommended and the negative bias must be corrected for by subtracting the value in Table 2 from the measurements at each affected level. The overall uncertainty

### Table 2. Summary of Aura MLS v2.2 ClO Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure, hPa</th>
<th>Resolution Vertical × Horizontal, km</th>
<th>Precision, ppbv</th>
<th>Bias Uncertainty, ppbv</th>
<th>Scaling Uncertainty, %</th>
<th>Known Artifacts or Other Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.68 – 0.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>unsuitable for scientific use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.5 × 350</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
<td>±0.05</td>
<td>±15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 – 1.5</td>
<td>3 – 4.5 × 250 – 400</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±0.05</td>
<td>±5 – 15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3 × 400</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±10%</td>
<td>–0.12 ppbv systematic biasd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>3 × 450</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>–0.27 ppbv systematic biasd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>3 × 500</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>–0.41 ppbv systematic biasd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.5 × 500</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>unsuitable for scientific use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147 – 316</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>not retrieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 – 464</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aHorizontal resolution in along-track direction; cross-track resolution is ~3 km, and the separation between adjacent retrieved profiles along the measurement track is 1.5° great circle angle (~165 km).
bPrecision on individual profiles, determined from observed scatter in nighttime (descending) data in a region of minimal atmospheric variability.
cValues should be interpreted as 2σ estimates of the probable magnitude and, at the higher pressures, are the uncertainties after subtraction of the known negative bias tabulated in the rightmost column.
dDetermined directly from the observations, not from simulations. Values quoted are based on averages over middle and high latitudes; see section 2.7 for latitudinal variations in the magnitude of the bias estimates.
for an individual data point is determined by taking the root sum square (RSS) of the precision, bias, and scaling error terms (for averages, the single-profile precision value is divided by the square root of the number of profiles contributing to the average).

Comparisons with a climatology derived from the multiyear UARS MLS data set and correlative data sets from a variety of different platforms have also been presented. A consistent picture emerges that both the amplitude and the altitude of the secondary peak in the ClO profile in the upper stratosphere are well determined by MLS. The latitudinal and seasonal variations in the ClO distribution in the lower stratosphere are also well determined, but the correlative comparisons confirm the existence of a substantial negative bias in the v2.2 MLS ClO data at the lowest retrieval levels.

Quality control should be implemented in any scientific studies using the MLS ClO measurements. Several metrics for evaluating data quality are provided along with the retrieved mixing ratios in the MLS Level 2 files. More detail on these quantities is given in section 2.2. Briefly, any data point for which any of the following conditions are met should be discarded: (1) the associated precision value is negative, (2) “Status” is an odd number, (3) “Quality” is less than 0.8, or (4) “Convergence” is greater than 1.5.

The refinements in the retrieval algorithms between v1.5 and v2.2 led to substantial improvements in most MLS data products. Although cumulatively they resulted in an increase in the severity of the negative bias in ClO at the lowest retrieval levels, nevertheless the v2.2 ClO retrieval is considered more reliable as other compensating errors have been eliminated. We therefore strongly recommend the use of v2.2, rather than v1.5, MLS ClO measurements for scientific studies. Planned changes in version 3 algorithms, including the retrieval of additional species such as CH$_3$Cl and CH$_3$OH, should substantially reduce the negative bias present below 22 hPa. Another goal for version 3 is to improve the ClO retrievals at 147 hPa.

Validation of satellite measurements is an ongoing process. It is important to continue to evaluate the quality of the MLS ClO data set, especially in light of future refinements to the data processing software. The analyses presented here can be extended as more v2.2 data become available; at the time of writing (February 2007), fewer than 100 days of MLS data have been reprocessed to v2.2. Recent balloon flights from Kiruna, Sweden during the January/February 2007 campaign, continuing satellite missions, and planned deployments of various instruments during the upcoming International Polar Year, will all afford more opportunities for cross comparisons.
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